

		REPLY /CONTENTIONS	REPLY TO BE GIVEN
1- (a),(b)	D.O.NO.41(I)E-III-B/2010-DATED-12/07-2013	MOSPI has referred the matter to MOF,6 Th CPC recommended up-gradation & merger of the posts.	
Para No.3	LIMITATION-	Various Judgments, delay and if person entitled to a relief chooses to remain silent for long, he here by gives rise to a reasonable belief in the mind that he is not interested.	
4/1 to 4/3	Facts of the case-4(1) Denial of proper grade pay. 4(3) Granted parity with Assts. ets.	6 th CPC has re- constructed the SSS w.e.f 01/01/2006-and Department of Expenditure MOF has examined the proposal of up-gradation, and not feasible.	
4.4 to 4.9	6 th CPC's Para-7.15.13, recommendation ,pay scale for Inspectors	The contention are denied, MOSPI has considered the proposal, but MOF Dept., Exp. Dose not finds it feasible.	
Para- 4.10 to 4.12	AIASI had submitted cadre Review /Restructure of SSS to JS (Pers.) D of Exp.MOF(as suggested) and the claim of grant of grade pay 4600/4800.Grivance of AIASI recommended by M of State MOSPI,rejected by M of State for Fin.	The contention are denied.Assts,and sss are belong to different cadre, and granting higher grade pay to Asst. on basis of All India level recruitment Examination is just one of the reason. The Statistical Invst.-Gr-II were not placed in the pay scale of Rs. 6500-10500	
Para No.5.1 to 5.10	Grounds of Relief with legal provisions. Group B and Group C service of Statistical functions. Merger of pay scales, Staff Selection comm.'s Advt.for combine Graduate level Exam. For all post ,other post Group C posts but grade pay 4600/-	It is stated in reply that, the true position stated in Para's 4 to 16 of the, no further comments to offer. In view of aforesaid submission and the grounds the present application preferred by the applicants to get relief for the revision of Grade pay is time barred as well as unreasonable, may please be disallowed.	
Para-6	Details of Remedies exhausted ,submitted repeated representations to MOSPI and M of F	It submitted that the issue of higher grade pay raised by the applicants has been considered by the The M of Finance has not agreed. Admn.Mistory (MOSPI)	

		...e any	The contentions are substantially correct.	
Para-8(a) to 8(f)	Renels Prayed, i) to allow the application,ii)to hold and declare that, the SI Gr.II are entitled to GP Rs.4600/-pm in PB 2, iii) hold and declare that, the SI Gr.I are entitled to GP Rs.4800/-pm in PB 2 ,iv) to grant all consequential benefits to the Applicants. V) to pass any orders, which may be just and appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case. Vi)to award the cost of application.		In view of the true position stated in the Paras 4 to 20above the application is clearly devoid of the merit. The Ministry of Finance has replied that matter regarding up-gradation of Grade payoff SSS personnel has been considered and not agreed to. Hon Minister of State for Finance has since been decided and reply to MOSPI that the Direct recruitments through an All India level is just one of the reason for extending the grant of Grade Pay 4600/-to Assistants in CSS, and both are different cadre	
	General.		In view of aforesaid submission and grounds the present application preferred by the applicants is intends to get relief for revision of Grade pay recommended by the 6 th CPC which is badly time barred as well as unreasonable and therefore Hon'able Tribunal may be pleased to disallow the OA.	
Para-9 to 12	Interim relief prayed if any, 2),details of IPOs and 3) Details of Annexures,		The contentions are based on matter of records and hence no comments. In the light of the aforesaid true position, this respondent respectfully submits that the O.A. is devoid of merit and therefore the same is liable to be dismissed with costs.	